Serial Nos. 1 – 6

Major ~~Part~~ B for August 1891

SUBJECT.

el of Srirangamma of [illegible]
addevankoli Ag. Taluk [illegible]
anbhogi huk

WD 2283—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

(8)

(7)

M No 78 of 1891

appt
Veerangamma
repd by
Mr Krishnamurti

Respt
Virabhapa
repd by
Mr Narayanrao

appt's case stated that one Shanthoy died in Augt 1889 — R 2d general asst Commr appointed appt as Shanthoy & R respt, who was the brother of R deceased, as her guardian. R Dy Commr on appeal appointed respt in July 1891 stating that he was an undivided member of R family & ordered him to make a suitable allowance to R appt. —

There is nothing on R record to show whether R deceased & R respt were divided or undivided — R Dy Commr was requested to take evidence on this point & dispose of R case on its merits. — If R deceased was divided, R general asst to

decem is right — If he was
undivided, & by consent
~~right~~ assets must be applied —

27/6/92

Ch

Ch

Ch Case may be
remanded for further
enquiry as to whether
the Respt was domiciled
or undivided ~~[redacted]~~ at
a fresh decision

Ch

Ch

(5)

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

No. 705 (11: 9: 91)

Name of Petitioner.

Seetharama Ammaa.

Village. Halasoor Pirka

Taluk. Nagara & Honnavar

District. Sunda Dist.

Date of Arzi. 11- 7 -91

Date of Receipt. 18- 7 -91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 4

First applicant requests that hearing be fixed here by Friday 2 or 5 days, & no explanation is given for delay—

Petition properly stamped—

Copies of order produced—

Stamp Register, Power of Attorney—Para Rule.

Addl Rules.

Admission Fee Correct.

19th Sept 91 as

J. R. 12th-9-91

Petitioner is a female & absent so not Rules.

Case admitted.

Case for 30th

Sept

30/9/91

ABSTRACT.

State that, after the death of Shunkara Narayana Shanbhaga of Honnavar leaving a widow Veerapa & Stated in his deposition before the Deputy Commissioner that the shanbhogueship might be registered in the name of petitioner. Accordingly the Assistant Commissioner, Mysore District ordered that it might be done. But, on an application from the said Veerapa to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore, cancelling the above order has since issued an order No: 172 dated 10th February 91, to the effect that the Shanbhogueship should be registered in Veerapa's name.

Against this order petitioner

tioner appears on the proceedings
the widow has according
her husband desire adopted.
Ramaswamiah; If Veerappa
would be the legatee and
Chenbagave the adopted will
ever be deprived of it.
The said Veerappa is
illiterate. And as she
deprived of the Shanu-
ogueship the only means
here and the adopted
lihood, the petitioner
ays that the above order
ay be cancelled and
the Shanubogueship
may be registered in
s name.

Translation of the Reply
ment order Dt: 1923.
The deceased Chenbague and
e brother Veerappa are undi-
ided; and, as deceased
idow has no issue, the said
eerappa has greater claims
the Shanbogueship. Therefore
may be registered in Shanubogue's
favour the Amildar must
ot a muchalika executed by
he said Veerappa binding him
pay whatever some is fixed
y the Amildar for the mainte-
ance of the widow.

Camp Rep. No 44

Presented
15 July 91
Commissioner

Consular Case

Dated
15/7/91

772

ا) ——————————————————

ب) ——————————————————

ج) ——————————————————

د) ——————————————————

ه) ——————————————————

No.
989

(2)

L.R. 124-91-92

No. 159. (4)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Petitioner's receipt received 19/10/91

The accompanying Petition No. 772-989 dated 12th July & 12th Sept 1891 respectively received at the Dewan's Office from Seeramgaumma wife of Shankaramaiah Shanbogue of Halasur Pethe, Heggadadevankote Tk

is forwarded, together with its four enclosures, to the Deputy Comr's

Vijayanagar, for submission of Proceedings and a report thereon.

By Order,

for Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,
30th Sept 1891.

Drafted as per Co's order.

File

T.R. 126-91-3

Noted

(General Serial No. 30).

(5181. 8-7-92 P. 64

From Lady Commissioner's office Perak

To The Right Honble the Secretary of State for the Colonies

Dated 11th December 1891

Despatched 12th December 1891

Received

Enclosures No. 1 file No.

General No. 772
No.

Acknowledge receipt of Despatch No. 303 of 30th Nov 1891 with enclosure from the Governor of the Straits Settlements

T.R. 12th-91-4

Print for C. 11/12/91

Submitted 22/12/91

Noted. Enquire of Col. Secretary. Q. Is the accompanying Petition addressed to the Queen? 22/12/91

H.M. 28th April 1892

Ans 1-2-91

The Governor has the honour to transmit herewith the proceedings called for in the above despatch together with an English precis thereof and the petition received with the same under reply.

A. Havelock

Lady Commissioner's office Perak

Pieces of papers annexed in Shanbogue of the Halasoor Pirka in the Seg.
Gudadenankote Talook

Shan Karanarania Shanbogue of Halsoor Pirka died on the 2nd August 1839 leaving a widow named Seeranganna and a brother named Veerapa. Both of them prayed that the Shanbogui might be registered in the name of the widow and that her maternal uncle Veerkatranania might be appointed Jamanta.

Vide Sheristadar's Report No 663 dated 22.10.89

The Amildar recommended that the widow Seeranganna be registered as the Shanbogue, Veerapah the brother of the deceased being appointed Jamanta

No 568 dated 23.11.90

The 2d General Assistant Commissioner sanctioned the Amildars recommendation. Seeranganna then appealed to the Deputy Commissioner against the order, in so far as it

VI

related to the appointment of Teerajah as Rumbogue Poomeah as he was inimical to her interests and was not a lettered person.

The Deputy Commissioner applied the Assistant Commissioner's orders and directed that a suitable allowance be given to the widow for her maintenance.

Vide No 1723 dated 9. 2. 91
Re order as to the Teerajah being undivided, he not to be appointed.
order made in the name of

Krishnagar
2nd Asst Collr & Comr
Nagendra Nath

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 .

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Deputy Commr
Mysore &c

Dated 7th
Despatched } July 92
Received

L. R. 124-91-5

Enclosures No.

General No. 324
Pol. No. 13 — 92

Requesting that evidence
be taken as to whether Sri
Srinivasamma did not pur-
chase Pieces Nos 2, 3, 4, 5
and 6 in Survey No 1 of
Kasaba village, and Regis-
ter Khatha in her name
divided or undivided, and
that the case disposed of on
its merits.

References to be marked.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

(9)

Appeal No. 78 of 1891

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Srinivasayamma & Others, represented by Krishna murti Rao. Venkatesha, represented by M. Narasu Rao.

Decision. 29.5.92

Appellant's maternal uncle Shankaje died in August 1889. The 2nd Respondent was appointed Appellant's Guardian & Trustee, and he, Respondent, was in the matter of the deceased's estate & property. The Deputy Commissioner has appointed Respondent in Feb. 1891 stating that he was an undivided member of the family, and it was desirable to make a suitable allowance to the appellant.

There is nothing in the records to show whether the Deputy Commissioner

Report were divided or undivided.
The Dy: Commr will be requested to
take evidence on this point and
dispose of the case on its merits.
If the deceased was divided
the Govt's decision is right.
If he was undivided, the Dy: Commrs
order must be upheld.

611792 By order

Asst.

Chief Secy

824 Dated 7th July 1892
Pers 3-92

Copy forwarded to the Dy: Commr,
Mysore &c, with the papers received
with his No 1125 of 1891

By order

Chief Secy

(8)

Appt No. 25

Request

Seriamma & Krishnan,
Hiriyadevankote Taluk

Vakalath

Endorsement.

D.R. 124-91-6

In reply to the Petition dated 9th August 1891 of the petitioner is informed that the case has been remanded for inquiry as to whether the Ryot was divided or undivided

~~is informed that~~

and a fresh decision.

The enclosures are returned.

7/7/92

By Order,

Dept Secretary.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
2/7/1892

Mysore Government Central Press Bangalore.—28-9-91—1000

Issued with enclosures
11/7/92